	Application No.	Applicant(s)	i
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/089,142	PAYNE, LERO	<b>1</b>
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Sam Chuan C. Yao	1733	
All Participants:	Status of Application:		
(1) <u>Sam Chuan C. Yao</u> .	(3)		•
(2) <u>Mr. Arthur Urban</u> . とって	(4)		i
Date of Interview: 21 July 2004	Time:		:
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Appli  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: .	cant's representative)		
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed:  N/A			*
Claims discussed:			
Prior art documents discussed:  N/A			:
Part II.			:
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE See Continuation Sheet	ERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	S DISCUSSED:	
Part III.			: : :
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summa</li> </ul>	ne examiner will provide a writt record of the substance of the	en summary of the	ne substan
			*

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner informed Counsel that, the claims submitted on 06-18-04 do not appear to be consistent with the originally recited claims. Also informed counsel that, the apparatus claims do not require the recited material worked upon as being part of the apparatus as noted in a prior interview. Counsel agreed to make the necessary changes suggested by Examiner (see Examiner's amendment for details) to place the application in condition for allowance. On 07-27-04, participants agreed to remove a statement on page 1 lines 2-25 of the specification: "This application is ... now U.S. Patent No. 4,872,784."; and replace the statement with --This application is a 371 of PCT/US00/25535 09/18/2000-- in order to make it consistent with a BIB data sheet.